

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/698,336	MOONEY, DOUGLAS J.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Adam C. Rehm	2875	

All Participants:

(1) Adam C. Rehm.

(2) Alan Cariaso.

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) Keith Lutsch.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 14 March 2006

Time: 3PM EST

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: *N/A.*

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

16

Prior art documents discussed:

Dragoon (US RE34,254)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Clarified Claim 16 in view of Dragoon. Agreed to amend drawings and add a new Figure 8 in order to illustrate "a segment of a parabola" per Claim 16. Mr. Lutsch authorized an Examiner's Amendment to add language in support of new Figure 8, i.e. in the Specification 1. Brief Description of Drawings; and 2. paragraph supporting Claim 16..